ء

- 2. Defendant Exel Transportation Services, Inc. ("Exel") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and at all times mentioned herein was a provider of transport services and/or a common carrier of goods for hire, and entered into a transportation agreement, pursuant to which Exel assumed the liability of an interstate common motor carrier.
- 3. Defendant PANTOS LOGISTICS CO., LTD ("Pantos") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a foreign corporation, and at all times mentioned herein was a provider of transport services and/or a common carrier of goods for hire, and entered into a transportation agreement with LG, pursuant to which Pantos assumed the liability of an ocean carrier and an interstate common motor carrier.
- 4. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants used herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the DOE Defendants is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and thereby proximately caused the injuries and damages herein alleged.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Defendants, and each of them, were agents, servants or employees of each Defendant named herein, and at all of said times, each said Defendant was acting within the course and scope of said agency, service or employment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1333 and 1337(a), as Plaintiff seeks damages for injury to freight shipped by sea and in interstate commerce under the Carmack Amendment to the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. § 14706 et seq. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the common law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. This Court also has diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

- 7. LG Display Co., Ltd, the assured, markets consumer branded electronics in the United States.
- 8. LG contracts with common carriers and/or transportation logistics brokers to provide transportation services from Compton, California to Miami, Florida to Brazil.
- 9. Pantos and Exel coordinate transportation from Compton, California. From Compton, the cargo was to be transported via truck to Miami, Florida and then by sea to Brazil.
- For the shipment, Pantos made freight arrangements through their US Agents 10. FNS, Inc. ("FNS") for delivery of the goods from Compton, California to Miami, Florida where they would then be loaded for export to Brazil.
- FNS issued the delivery order for the goods to SJ Logix, a freight broker who 11. tendered the load to Exel.
- 12. Exel assigned the delivery order upon information and belief to C & E Trucking who left with the trailer on May 10, 2010.
- 13. On May 13, 2010 the Freight Forwarder heard from the trucking company that the driver reported a mechanical breakdown while in Alabama, approximately 850 miles from Miami.
- 14. On May 14, 2010 the driver reported that he was able to continue towards Miami with a revised estimated time of arrival being on or about May 21, 2010.
- 15. By May 16, 2010 contact was lost with the driver and the matter was reported to the Police in Alabama and Compton, California for theft of the goods.
 - 16. Approximately \$403,644.00 worth of cargo went missing.
- 17. The facts and circumstances surrounding the theft are as follows: This was an organized crime incident involving a professional gang using the Department of Transportation ("DOT") credentials of a trucking company no longer in business (C & E Trucking) to falsely gain possession of the cargo with the intent to steal it. The FBI had been investigating the group (based on identical methods) for approximately two years. The now defunct trucking company had been used for more than 10 similar thefts of cargo from the greater Los Angeles area.

stolen and/or lost while in their possession, as alleged herein, or otherwise failed to deliver the Cargo to the consignee.

- 26. Plaintiff is the lawful holder of the bills of lading and other documents of title establishing its ownership of the Cargo.
 - 27. The value of the Cargo which should have been delivered totals \$550,424.16.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the nondelivery of the Cargo, Plaintiff has been damaged in the approximate amount of \$403,644.00, including the value of the Cargo, duty, freight and advance.
- 29. Plaintiff timely presented Defendants with a claim for damages pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 14706(e).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Contract)

- 30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each, every and all of the allegations in paragraph 1 through 29, inclusive, above, as though the same were again fully set forth at length herein.
- 31. Plaintiff maintains agreements and written contracts with Pantos and Exel in which for monetary consideration, Pantos and Exel are responsible for arranging transportation between Korea, Compton, California, Miami, Florida and Brazil.
- 32. On the above-referenced shipping dates, at Pusan, Korea and at the Owens Container Freight Station in Compton, California, there was delivered to Defendants in good order and condition various styles of HDTV monitors (the "Cargo"), suitable in every respect for the intended transportation for which Defendants received, accepted and agreed to transport said Cargo for certain consideration to Miami, Florida to Brazil.
- 33. Defendants, in consideration for reasonable compensation, agreed to safely and promptly carry the Cargo and deliver same to Miami, Florida to Brazil.

- 34. Plaintiff has performed all conditions, covenants and promises on its part to be performed, including payment in full for services rendered by Defendants, including payment of freight and transportation costs.
- 35. Defendants breached the contracts with Plaintiff by failing to safely carry and deliver the Cargo to the consignee, as described herein.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of the contract, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of \$403,644.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Negligence)

- 37. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each, every and all of the allegations in paragraph 1 through 36, inclusive, above, as though the same were again fully set forth at length herein.
- 38. On the above-referenced shipping dates, at Pusan, Korea and at the Owens Container Freight Station in Compton, California, there was delivered to Defendants in good order and condition various styles of HDTV monitors (the "Cargo"), suitable in every respect for the intended transportation for which Defendants received, accepted and agreed to transport said Cargo for certain consideration to Miami, Florida to Brazil. As such, Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiff to use reasonable care in the transport of the Cargo, including all reasonable steps to ensure the Cargo reached its destination in good order and condition.
- 39. Defendants negligently failed to arrange for the carriage of the Cargo with suitable motor carriers; failed to take reasonable measures to prevent the theft of the Cargo; breached and violated their duties as providers of transportation services and their obligations as a common carrier and bailee of said Cargo; and otherwise failed to act within the standard of care required of a carrier and/or broker of goods for hire.
 - 40. Thereafter the Cargo was never delivered to its final destination.

Case 2	:11-cv-04382-JAK -MAN Document 1 Filed 05/20/11 Page 7 of 10 Page ID #:7									
1	41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has sustained									
2	damages in the amount of \$403,644.00 plus such additional sums in an amount according to									
3	proof.									
4										
5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as									
6	follows:									
7	1. For the value of the Cargo lost or stolen in the amount of \$403,644.00;									
8	2. For incidental and consequential damages according to proof;									
9	3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and									
10	4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.									
11										
12	Date: May 20, 2011 HILL RIVKINS BROWN & ASSOCIATES A Professional Law Corporation									
13										
14	By:									
15	ANDRÉA R. SHEARER Attorneys for Plaintiff									
16	LIG INSURANCE COMPANY									
17	LIMITED									
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										
	COMPLAINT									

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge John Kronstadt and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Margaret A. Nagle.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 4382 JAK (MANK)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8	L	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Sub	sequent documents must be filed a	at the	following location:
A co filed	ppy of this notice must be served w l, a copy of this notice must be sen	rith the ved or	e summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is n all plaintiffs).
			NOTICE TO COUNSEL
		egri gegin, da	
1	All discovery related motions	shor	ald be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Riverside, CA 92501

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STAT DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT CIVIL COVER SHEET

CALIFORNIA

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Cheek box if you are representing yourself CI) LIG INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED, a foreign corporation					PO TO THE STATE OF	DEPENDANTS EXEL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation: PANTOS LOGISTICS CO., LTD., a foreign corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive								
	(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number, If you are representing yourself, provide same.)				representing	Attorneys	(If Known)		***************************************		No. 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		t was a she de la fare ar g w	~~~~~~~~~
				916-535-0263										
H. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place on X in one box only.)				III. CITIZENS (Place an X	MIP OF I	RINCIPAL, P	ARTII nd one	ES - E	For Diversity Case efendant.)	e Only		180-21-11-11-Y		
DIU	S. Government Plaintiff	6 4.3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	y)	Citizen of This S			PTF		becorporated or I		Place		Def [] 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			wnship	Citizen of Another State			Q 2	CJ 3	Incorporated and Principal Place				□ 5	
PRINCIPAL MANAGEMENT		************	(M) 789 797 300 74 (2012) 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222		Citizen or Subje	t of a For	eign Country	C) 3	€ 3	Foreign Nation			□ 6	□6
IV. O	RIGIN (Place an X in or	e box o	nly.)								**************************************	//ei#/siks.spi	-1515-11.5	W-44400-p-
Original D2 Removed from D3 Remanded from D4 Reinstated or D5 Transferred from another district (specify): D6 Multi-Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge														
V, RI	QUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 🗈	Yes 🗹	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in com	plaint.	}		Maridilla dilapapa		**************************************	AND THE SHAPE OF SHAPE
	S ACTION under F.R.C		,							INT: \$ 366,949.	44			
VI C	AUSE OF ACTION (Cit 9 U.S.C. §§ 14706 et seq.	e the U.) and 13;	S. Civil Statute under whi 37(a) Csrmack Amendme	ch you s							***************************************	less dive	sity.)	
VII.	ATURE OF SUIT (Plac	с ин Х	one bax only.)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	**************************************		Service of the servic	Y#####################################	Na con estilor in	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	***************************************			V1514M-114-14-1
	THER STATUTES	deltamas		Tombalani	*************	901 300 654 C	de la ser en	on oten leva	1.10(6)(4)(4)	State Out the second state of the second			***************************************	
	State Reapportionment	18/25/25/2 F3 110	CONTRACT	Faces	TORYS SONAL INJURY	er Carrie	TORIS		and a second contract	risoner		LABC		
E3 410	Antimist		Marine	018.0	Airplane		PERSONAL, PROPERTY	- 100 C		Mations to	11.710	Fair Labo Act	or Stan	dards
	Banks and Banking		Miller Act	Ci 315	Airplane Product		Other Fraud			Vocate Sentence	□ 720	Labor/M	emt.	
LJ 450	Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.		Negotiable Instrument Recovery of	13 320	Liability Assault, Libel &		Truth in Lend			Habeas Corpus	1	Relations		
C) 460	Deportation	100	Overpayment &		Slander	L.1.380	Other Persons Property Dan		1530 1534	General Death Penalty		Labor/M; Reporting		
□ 470	Racketeer influenced	ļ	Enforcement of	123 330	Fed, Employers' Liability	C3 385	Property Dan	nage 🖸	540	Mandamus/		Disclosus		
	and Corcept Organizations	77 141	Judgment Medicare Act	CJ 340	Marine	1898s	Product Linhi	and the second		Other	(3 740	Railway	labor.	Act
□ 480	Consumer Credit		Recovery of Defaulted	⊕ 345	Marine Product		ANKRUPICY Appeal 28 US			Civil Rights Prison Condition	CJ 790	Other La		
	Coble/Sat TV	ŀ	Student Loan (Excl.	C3 350	Liability Motor Vehicle		158	Ĭ.	وتستطيع ووران	RFEITURE/	[] 791	Litigation Empl. Re		
	Scientivo Service Securities/Commodifies/	C) 63	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	CJ 423	Withdrawel 2		48.13	ENALTY	[Security	Act	· Salara in
	Exchange		Overpayment of	17.360	Product Liability Other Personal	\$ W.C	USC 157 MERIGIAS			Agriculture Other Food &	SARKE In son	PERTY. Copyrigh	RICH I	rs 0:
LJ 875	Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		Veteran's Henefits	300	Injury	(3 44)	Voting			Drug	C3 830		Co.	
M 890			Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	C) 362	Personal Injury-		Employment Housing/Acc			Drug Related		tademes		SUSPICE.
D 891	Agricultural Act		Contract Product	TJ 365	Med Malpractice Personal Injury-	11773	mmodutioss	"		Scizure of Property 21 USC	⊹∷SU) ⊜861	MAUSEC	3(月 (月) (44)	M alakir
⊕ 892	Economic Stabilization Act	651.70G	Liability		Product Liability		Welfare			881	□ 862	Black Lo	ng (92)	3)
€ 893	Environmental Matters		Franchise EAL PROPERTY	□ 368	Ashestos Persona Injury Product	13 445	American with Disabilities -			Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck		DIWC/D:	WW	
		CJ 210	Land Condemnation	A COMA	Liability	.	Employment	1 .				(405(g)) SSID Tirl	e XVt	
			Foreclesure Rent Lease & Ejectment	ED 4422	MIGRATION	T 🗆 446	American with	ı 🗓	660	Occupational	□ 365	RSI (405)	g))	
		[] 240	Torts to Land	ļ	Application		Disabilities - Other	L		Safety /Health Other		TRAL TA Taxes (U		
CT (VCV)	Access to Justice	CJ 245	Tort Product Liability	☐ 463	Habeas Corpus	□ 440	Other Civil		v./V	ne sitt ue		or Defend		oran
	Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 390	All Other Real Property	Ľ1 4ö5	Alien Detainee Other Inunigration	,	Rights				□ 871	IRS-Third	Party	26
					Actions			Ì				version (14/0)	r	
····		*****			***********						16/6/A			

UVII 04382

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:11-cv-044463-44KTEMON-TRICGUCOURT, CERIPAN-5/20/11/CTPOPENTO PAGE ID #:10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been p	reviously filed in this court a	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes					
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pr	eviously filed in this court th	at are related to the present case? MNo					
□ B. □ C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction ion of the same or substantia ould entail substantial dupli	ons, happenings, or events; or lly related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.					
IX. VENUE: (When completing the			·					
(a) List the County in this District;	California County o	outside of this District; State	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. f this box is checked, go to item (b).					
County in this District;*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LIG INSURANCE COMPANY	LIMITED		a foreign corporation					
(b) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, it	California County of ts agencies or emple	outside of this District; State oyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
EXEL TRANSPORTATION SE PANTOS LOGISTICS CO., LT			Delaware a foreign corporation					
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation ca	California County cases, use the location	outside of this District; State on of the tract of land invol	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
Los Angeles County								
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, V e the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or i	San Luis Obispo Counties					
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):		Date May 20, 2011					
but is used by the Clerk of the Co	ourt for the purpose	of statistics, venue and initia	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings the of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)					
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases	:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement o	f Cause of Action					
861	HIA	All claims for health insur Also, include claims by he program. (42 U.S.C. 1935	surance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the 935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S	rs or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security .C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa	ental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

865

RSI

U.S.C. (g))